

Cross-Canada Survey of Radon Concentrations in Homes Year 1 Interim Report





Cross-Canada Survey of Radon Concentrations in Homes Year 1 Highlights

Health Canada recently completed the analysis of the data from the first year of the Cross-Canada Survey of Radon Concentrations in Homes. This is a two year project to gather long-term (3-month or longer) indoor radon measurement results from across Canada. The goal of this study is to get a better understanding of radon levels so that we can better inform and help protect Canadians from the risks of long term radon exposure.

Over the two years of this study approximately 18,000 participants will be recruited via telephone. In the first year, approximately 9000 homes were randomly selected across all provinces and territories and a long-term radon test was conducted during the 2009/2010 fall and winter heating season. By sampling across the country as opposed to a few large cities, Health Canada will obtain a better estimate of the geographic distribution of radon levels across Canada. All participants from year 1 of the study have been informed of the specific radon results for their household and information on radon remediation was provided to those households with elevated levels.

While the radon levels in the vast majority of Canadian homes are below the current Canadian guideline of 200 Bq/m³, first-year results indicate that approximately 7% of Canadian homes have elevated radon levels. The results from the first year of this study reinforce how important it is for Canadians to test the radon level in their homes.

The second year of the survey is underway and participant homes will be tested during the fall and winter of 2010/2011. The results from both years of testing will be released at the end of the project. All data from this study will be used to more effectively promote radon protection to those areas that are identified as most at risk.

Health Canada is working in partnership with a number of organizations to raise awareness about the risks from radon including the Canadian Lung Association, Canadian Cancer Society and the Canadian Medical Association.

Health Canada has developed a number of resources including brochures, facstsheets and guides to help Canadians learn about radon and how to protect themselves and their family by testing and reducing the level, if necessary. For more information visit www.healthcanada.gc.ca/radon.

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health, 2010

This publication may be reproduced without permission provided the source is fully acknowledged.



Introduction

Radon is a radioactive gas found naturally in the environment. It is produced by the decay of uranium found in rocks and soil. Because radon is a gas, it can move freely through the soil enabling it to escape to the atmosphere or seep into buildings. Radon is invisible, odourless and tasteless, but can be easily measured.

In outdoor air the amount of radon gas is diluted and does not pose a health risk. However, radon that enters an enclosed space, such as a home or building, can sometimes accumulate to high levels. Prolonged exposure to high levels of radon is associated with an increased risk of developing lung cancer. It is estimated that about 10% of all lung cancers worldwide are related to radon exposure (WHO Handbook on Indoor Radon, pg. 16, 2009).

Health Canada collaborated with the Federal Provincial Territorial Radiation Protection Committee (FPTRPC) to review the health risk from exposure to radon and to revise the Canadian Radon Guideline. The risk assessment was based on new scientific information and was the subject of a broad Canadian public consultation in 2006. Based on the assessment and feedback from the consultation, the Government of Canada revised the guideline for exposure to radon in indoor air from 800 Bq/m³ to 200 Bq/m³ in June 2007.

The new guideline recommends the following:

- Remedial measures should be undertaken in a dwelling whenever the average annual radon concentration exceeds 200 Bq/m³ in the normal occupancy area.
- The higher the radon concentration, the sooner remedial measures should be undertaken.
- When remedial action is taken, the radon level should be reduced to a value as low as practicable.
- The construction of new dwellings should employ techniques that will minimize radon entry and will facilitate post-construction radon removal, should this subsequently prove necessary.

Following the change to the Canadian guideline, Health Canada and the FPTRPC continued to work collaboratively to develop a program for the effective implementation of the new guideline. This National Radon Program consists of 5 components:

1) A National Radon Laboratory that was established in 2007 to support radon testing projects and provide expertise and advice to governments and the Canadian public;

2) Radon testing projects; which will assess the levels of radon in federal workplaces as well as residential levels across the country;

3) Development of a radon potential map of Canada. Data from Health Canada radon testing projects as well as aerial and land radiation surveys will be used in the development of a map of

radon rich areas across Canada and will assist governments in more effectively targeting their communication and outreach efforts;

4) Radon-related research projects designed to assess and reduce the health impacts from exposure to radon;

5) A public education and awareness program which focuses on homeowners, commercial building owners, the building industry and public health practitioners to raise awareness of radon and the potential health risks from exposure and to encourage Canadians to test for radon in their homes and take appropriate measures to reduce levels, if necessary.

The Cross-Canada Survey of Radon Concentrations in Homes, the subject of this report, is one of the projects Health Canada has underway as part of its National Radon Program.

Purpose

The purpose of the Cross-Canada Survey of Radon Concentrations in Homes project is to gather long-term (3-month or longer) indoor radon measurement test results from across Canada in order to:

- 1. Obtain an estimate of the proportion of the Canadian population living in homes with radon gas levels above the guideline of 200 Bq/m^3 ;
- 2. Identify previously unknown areas where radon gas exposure may constitute a health risk;
- 3. Build, over time, a map of indoor radon gas exposure levels.

The measurements were conducted during the fall and winter heating season when most homes maintain closed windows and doors and indoor radon levels tend to be highest.

The sampling included all Health Regions in Canada and will cover both rural and urban areas. "Health Region" is a term that generally applies to a geographic administrative area of responsibility for a hospital board or regional health authority, and which is ultimately defined by a provincial ministry of health. Because they are provincial administrative areas of responsibility, Health Regions are subject to change. Health Regions have become an important geographic unit by which health and health-related data are produced. Thus collection of radon measurement data by Health Region was deemed appropriate for this study.

Methodology

The study was designed to recruit 18,000 participants over two calendar years based on various qualifying criteria. Participants were recruited over the telephone by a contracted market research firm. Once they agreed to participate, recruits were then mailed a radon detector test kit and asked to deploy the detector in the lowest lived-in level of the home where someone spends at least 4 hours a day. Participants were asked to conduct a long-term test since radon levels

fluctuate in the short-term (hours to days). In order for results to be indicative of annual radon exposure, the test needs to be conducted for a period of at least three months.

The contracted market research firm was also responsible for following up with participants during the testing period. The initial follow-up was designed to make sure participants received their radon detector, installed it, and recorded the start date of the test. Follow-up was also conducted at the end of the test period to remind participants to end the test, record the stop date, complete the questionnaire, and mail-back the detector to the NRL.

After the detectors were analyzed, the NRL issued a results letter to each participant. Participants whose results were above the radon guideline of 200 Bq/m³, also received a copy of the joint Health Canada/Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) publication entitled "Radon : A Guide for Canadian Homeowners." The guide provides information about radon and in addition, describes how to reduce radon levels in the home.

Sampling Frame

The goal of the study is to recruit 18,000 participants over two years, 9000 being recruited each summer with measurements to be made in the 2009-10 and 2010-11 fall/winter (October to March) periods. As of 2007, there were 124 Health Regions in Canada, and the intent of the study was to sample homes in each of these Health Regions. Given that certain Health Regions have small populations a number of Health Regions were combined.

There were several qualifying criteria that were required to be eligible to participate in the study. Firstly, participants had to be the head of the household and 18 years of age or older. In addition, participants were required to be homeowners, and be living in their primary residence. Homes that were built on stilts or high-rise condo units that were above the second floor did not qualify. Finally, homeowners could not plan to be moving or plan to be away during the proposed timeline of the study (October to March) if they wished to participate.

Recruiting Statistics

There were 8966 participants initially recruited for year 1 of the study. A very small number of them, 23, withdrew very shortly after the recruitment phase but before the detector kits were mailed out. Thus 8943 participants were available to be mailed detector kits for year 1 of the study. Close to 100,000 calls were made by the contracted market research firm to achieve the target number of participants.

The vast majority of the Health Regions, 114 of them (94%), achieved at least 95% of their quotas for year 1 of the study. There were two Health Regions where recruitment was found to be extremely difficult.

Detector Mail Out

Detectors were shipped to participants in three waves of roughly 3000 detectors each in October and November 2009. This was conducted so that detectors would arrive back in a slightly staggered fashion, which would aid the process of analysis of detectors.

Detector Return Rates

Detector return rates by province and territory are shown below in Table 1. Return rates on a Health Region basis generally ranged from 60-90%.

Table 1: Returned detectors by province								
Province	Participants	Returned detectors	Percentage Returned					
British Columbia	1,195	882	73.8%					
Alberta	756	517	68.4%					
Saskatchewan	748	551	73.7%					
Manitoba	760	558	73.4%					
Ontario	2,668	1,865	69.9%					
Quebec	923	747	80.9%					
New Brunswick	520	392	75.4%					
Nova Scotia	413	296	71.7%					
Newfoundland and Labrador	480	356	74.2%					
Prince Edward Island	70	55	78.6%					
Yukon	137	102	73.8%					
Northwest Territories	136	87	64%					
Nunavut	137	66	48.2%					
Total	8,943	6,474	72.4%					

Roughly 4% of participants who had initially volunteered to participate in the study, subsequently declined to participate when they received their detector package. In the majority of these cases (75%) the returned kits had no written explanations for declining to participate. In terms of the returned kits having explanations, the most prevalent reasons for declining to participate were due to upcoming renovations (11%), or because the participants were no longer going to be home during the fall/winter testing period (9%).

At the time of writing this report, roughly 6500 participants had returned their detectors to the NRL and roughly 6000 results had been reported. These 6500 completed tests represent roughly 75% of participants who were still active in the study. The return rate is quite reasonable, and demonstrates that Canadians are willing to participate in such a study to a significant degree.

Issues with the Testing Process

There were very few participants who had problems conducting their test. Only a small number of kits were returned by participants stating that they thought the test was too complicated. Those who required replacement items to be re-shipped to them represented 2% of participants overall. The most common items re-shipped to participants were the postage-paid return mailer (45%), the paper questionnaire (30%) and the zip-top bag (23%).

The vast majority of participants, roughly 95%, performed a long-term test (i.e., at least 90 days in duration). Only 3 % of participants conducted tests that were shorter than 84 days in length, and less than 0.1 % of participants conducted tests that were less than 30 days in duration. Participants who conducted tests shorter than 30 days were issued result letters but their results were not used in survey statistics. The average test length was 97.4 days and the median test period was 93 days.

Year 1 Results

A summary of Year 1 results in table format can be found in **Appendix 1**. The results demonstrate that radon levels vary widely across the country and that there are areas where indoor radon is more prevalent than in others. Manitoba (24.8%), New Brunswick (21.7%), Saskatchewan (16.4%), and the Yukon (15.9%) had the highest percentage of participant homes above the radon guideline based on the year 1 data. Conversely, Nunavut (0%), Northwest Territories (3.9%), and Prince Edward Island (4.5%) were lowest. It must be emphasized that these data are preliminary and the percentages may change once the second year of the survey is completed.

The results from Nunavut indicate that no radon results were found above the Canadian Radon Guideline; however, this was also noted in roughly 16% of all Health Regions sampled. This does not mean that radon will not be present at elevated levels in some homes in Nunavut and in these other Health Regions. At best this data is an estimate of the number of homes with levels above the Canadian Radon Guideline in any province/territory or Health Region. The only way for a resident to know his/her home does not have high levels of radon is to test, regardless of location.

Recommendations for Year 2

Despite the very high rate of compliance in year 1, an effort has been made to simplify and improve the documentation (instructions for the test and the questionnaire) for year 2 of the study. The changes were made based on feedback from NRL staff, and the contracted market research firm.

For example, there is now more emphasis placed on the items that need to be retained upon receipt of the detector package; the items are bundled together in the package as well to reduce the chance of items being thrown away or misplaced when the package is first opened; and there is also a sticker reminding the participants which items they need to retain for mailback at the end of the test period. Additional emphasis built into the year 2 detector documentation that stresses the need for participants to provide the test start and stop dates/times.

It is hoped that the number of incomplete tests will be reduced considerably in year 2 by: earlier and more frequent follow-ups; having the detectors shipped out in 2 waves in early and late October 2010; and having a slightly shorter time lag between recruitment and detector mail out.

Conclusions

The year 1 data indicates that there are several regions of the country where indoor radon is more prevalent. The current estimate of the percentage of Canadians living in homes above the 200 Bq/m³ guideline based on the year 1 dataset is 7.4%. This percentage is slightly higher than the previous estimate of 5% of Canadians, but the current estimate is more geographically based and is also based on long-term (3-month) radon testing.

At the end of year 2 of the study, in 2011, the complete dataset will be analyzed and a complete analysis of the questionnaire responses will be undertaken to see if any correlations can be drawn between radon levels and home characteristics. The full dataset of the indoor radon concentrations will also be used to support the development of a radon potential map for Canada.

Appendix 1 – Year 1 Radon Test Results

A summary of the year 1 results is shown in the tables (Tables 2 to 3) below. Table 2 shows the percentage of participants in each province/territory with results below 200 Bq/m³, between 200 and 600 Bq/m³, above 600 Bq/m³, and then above 200 Bq/m³ (sum of the percentages between 200 and 600 Bq/m³ and above 600 Bq/m³). Note that these are a "raw" percentage, that is, the percentage does not consider the population of that region; it is simply the number of results in a concentration category for each province or territory divided by the total number of results for that province or territory multiplied by 100.

	"Raw" Percentage of Homes with Radon Concentrations:							
	Below 200		Above 600	Above 200				
Province/Territory	Bq/m ³	200 to 600 Bq/m ³	Bq/m ³	Bq/m ³				
Alberta (AB)	93.3%	5.9%	0.8%	6.7%				
British Columbia								
(BC)	92.6%	6.1%	1.3%	7.4%				
Manitoba (MB)	75.2%	22.8%	2.0%	24.8%				
New Brunswick								
(NB)	78.3%	15.6%	6.1%	21.7%				
Newfoundland								
and Labrador	0.4.40/	4 70/	4.00/	5.00/				
(NL)	94.1%	4.7%	1.3%	5.9%				
Nova Scotia (NS)	93.6%	4.9%	1.5%	6.4%				
North West								
Territories (NT)	96.1%	3.9%	0.0%	3.9%				
Nunavut (NU)	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Ontario (ON)	92.6%	6.5%	0.9%	7.4%				
Prince Edward								
Island (PE)	95.5%	4.5%	0.0%	4.5%				
Quebec (QC)	91.7%	7.3%	1.0%	8.3%				
Saskatchewan								
(SK)	83.6%	15.6%	0.8%	16.4%				
Yukon Territory								
(YT)	84.1%	10.6%	5.3%	15.9%				

Table 2: Year 1 Raw Radon Results by Province and Territory

Table 3 below shows the number of results and breakdown of raw percentages below 200 Bq/m³, between 200 and 600 Bq/m³, greater than 600 Bq/m³, and finally above 200 Bq/m³ in each Health Region. These are not population-weighted percentages.

Province			Number of		% 200 to	% Above	
or	Health		Survey	% Below	600 ្	600 ្	% Above
Territory	Region	Health Region Name	Participants	200 Bq/m ³	Bq/m³	Bq/m³	200 Bq/m³
		Eastern Regional Integrated Health					
NII	1011	Authority, Newfoundland and	45	05.6	4.4	0.0	1 1
	1011	Control Regional Integrated Health	40	95.0	4.4	0.0	4.4
		Authority, Newfoundland and					
NL	1012	Labrador	92	96.7	1.1	2.2	3.3
		Western Regional Integrated					
		Health Authority, Newfoundland					
NL	1013	and Labrador	92	92.4	6.5	1.1	7.6
		Labrador-Grenfell Regional					
	4044	Integrated Health Authority,		00.4			
NL	1014	Newfoundland and Labrador	91	93.4	5.5	1.1	6.6
PE	1111	Prince Edward Island	44	95.5	4.5	0.0	4.5
NS	1201	Zone 1, Nova Scotia	42	95.2	2.4	2.4	4.8
NS	1202	Zone 2, Nova Scotia	49	98.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
NS	1203	Zone 3, Nova Scotia	46	89.1	8.7	2.2	10.9
NS	1204	Zone 4, Nova Scotia	45	97.8	2.2	0.0	2.2
NS	1205	Zone 5, Nova Scotia	41	92.7	4.9	2.4	7.3
NS	1206	Zone 6, Nova Scotia	43	88.4	9.3	2.3	11.6
NB	1301	Region 1, New Brunswick	51	90.2	7.8	2.0	9.8
NB	1302	Region 2, New Brunswick	37	91.9	8.1	0.0	8.1
NB	1303	Region 3, New Brunswick	52	82.7	9.6	7.7	17.3
NB	1304	Region 4, New Brunswick	28	75.0	21.4	3.6	25.0
NB	1305	Region 5, New Brunswick	53	73.6	20.7	5.7	26.4
NB	1306	Region 6, New Brunswick	54	66.7	14.8	18.5	33.3
NB	1307	Region 7, New Brunswick	82	73.2	23.2	3.6	26.8
		Région du Bas-Saint-Laurent,					
QC	2401	Quebec	53	86.8	9.4	3.8	13.2
<u> </u>	0.400	Région du Saguenay - Lac-Saint-		400.0			
QC	2402	Jean, Quebec	34	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
00	2402	Region de la Capitale-Nationale,	17	90.4	6.4	4.2	10.6
QU	2403	Région de la Mauricie et du Centre-	47	09.4	0.4	4.2	10.0
QC	2404	du-Québec. Quebec	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
QC	2405	Région de l'Estrie. Quebec	26	96.2	3.8	0.0	3.8
QC	2406	Région de Montréal. Quebec	27	92.6	7.4	0.0	7.4
QC	2407	Région de l'Outaouais. Quebec	26	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
QC	2408	Région de l'Abitibi-Témiscamingue,	32	96.9	3.1	0.0	3.1

Table 3: Year 1 Raw Radon Results by Health Region

		Quebec					
QC	2409	Région de la Côte-Nord, Quebec	50	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Région du Nord-du-Québec,					
QC	2410	Quebec	105	97.1	2.9	0.0	2.9
		Région de la Gaspésie - Iles-de-la-					
QC	2411	Madeleine, Quebec	53	71.7	22.6	5.7	28.3
00	2442	Region de la Chaudiere-	50	94.0	15 1	0.0	15 1
	2412	Appaiaches, Quebec	23	04.9 05 0	13.1	0.0	10.1
	2413	Region de Lavai, Quebec	27	85.2	14.8	0.0	14.8
	2414	Region de Lanaudiere, Quebec	27	92.6	7.4	0.0	7.4
	2415	Region des Laurentides, Quebec	31	90.3	9.7	0.0	9.7
QC	2416	Region de la Monteregie, Quebec	56	89.3	10.7	0.0	10.7
QC	2417	Region du Nunavik, Quebec	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
00	2410	Region des Terres-Cries-de-la-	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
QU	2410	District of Algoma Health Unit	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ON	3526	Ontario	38	94 7	53	0.0	53
ON	3527	Brant County Health Unit Ontario	42	83.3	16.7	0.0	16.7
	0021	Durham Regional Health Unit.		00.0	10.7	0.0	10.7
ON	3530	Ontario	43	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Elgin-St. Thomas Health Unit,					
ON	3531	Ontario	38	92.1	5.3	2.6	7.9
ON	3533	Grey Bruce Health Unit, Ontario	34	76.5	23.5	0.0	23.5
		Haldimand-Norfolk Health Unit,					
ON	3534	Ontario	47	95.7	4.3	0.0	4.3
0 11	0505	Haliburton, Kawartha, Pine Ridge	10		7.0		7.0
ON	3535	District Health Unit, Ontario	43	93.0	7.0	0.0	7.0
ON	3536	Ontario	42	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0000	City of Hamilton Health Unit		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ON	3537	Ontario	45	95.6	4.4	0.0	4.4
		Hastings and Prince Edward					
ON	3538	Counties Health Unit, Ontario	40	85.0	10.0	5.0	15.0
ON	3539	Huron County Health Unit, Ontario	44	90.9	9.1	0.0	9.1
ON	3540	Chatham-Kent Health Unit, Ontario	76	86.9	11.8	1.3	13.1
		Kingston, Frontenac and Lennox					
ON	3541	and Addington Health Unit, Ontario	45	84.4	15.6	0.0	15.6
ON	3542	Lambton Health Unit, Ontario	73	90.4	9.6	0.0	9.6
		Leeds, Grenville and Lanark District	_				
ON	3543	Health Unit, Ontario	51	86.3	11.7	2.0	13.7
	2544	Middlesex-London Health Unit,	46	07.9	2.2	0.0	2.2
UN	3044	Uniano Niagara Regional Area Health Unit	40	97.0	2.2	0.0	2.2
ON	3546	Ontario	48	95.8	0.0	42	42
511	00-10	North Bay Parry Sound District	<u> </u>	00.0	0.0		٦.٢
ON	3547	Health Unit, Ontario	47	97.9	2.1	0.0	2.1
ON	3549	Northwestern Health Unit, Ontario	88	85.2	11.4	3.4	14.8
ON	3551	City of Ottawa Health Unit, Ontario	29	93.1	6.9	0.0	6.9
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-	

ON	3552	Oxford County Health Unit. Ontario	48	93.7	4.2	2.1	6.3
ON	3553	Peel Regional Health Unit, Ontario	32	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ON	3554	Perth District Health Unit, Ontario	41	92.7	7.3	0.0	7.3
		Peterborough County-City Health		-	_		-
ON	3555	Unit, Ontario	47	89.4	10.6	0.0	10.6
ON	3556	Porcupine Health Unit, Ontario	42	97.6	2.4	0.0	2.4
		Renfrew County and District Health					
ON	3557	Unit, Ontario	42	90.5	9.5	0.0	9.5
.		Eastern Ontario Health Unit,					
ON	3558	Ontario	44	97.7	0.0	2.3	2.3
	2560	Simcoe Muskoka District Health	50	09.1	1.0	0.0	1.0
UN	3300	Sudbury and District Health Unit	52	90.1	1.9	0.0	1.9
ON	3561	Ontario	44	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0001	Thunder Bay District Health Unit.	<u></u>	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ON	3562	Ontario	50	90.0	8.0	2.0	10.0
ON	3563	Timiskaming Health Unit, Ontario	43	95.3	4.7	0.0	4.7
ON	3565	Waterloo Health Unit, Ontario	46	93.5	6.5	0.0	6.5
		Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health					
ON	3566	Unit, Ontario	41	92.7	4.9	2.4	7.3
		Windsor-Essex County Health Unit,					
ON	3568	Ontario	84	90.5	7.1	2.4	9.5
ON	3570	York Regional Health Unit, Ontario	47	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ON	3595	City of Toronto Health Unit, Ontario	25	96.0	4.0	0.0	4.0
		Winnipeg Regional Health					
MB	4610	Authority, Manitoba	29	82.8	17.2	0.0	17.2
	4045	Brandon Regional Health Authority,	04	C1 0	20.4	0.0	00.4
IVIB	4615	Manitoba	21	61.9	38.1	0.0	38.1
MB	4620	Authority Manitoba	37	73.0	24.3	27	27 0
	4020	South Eastman Regional Health		10.0	24.0	2.1	27.0
MB	4625	Authority, Manitoba	49	95.9	4.1	0.0	4.1
		Interlake Regional Health Authority,					
MB	4630	Manitoba	47	68.1	31.9	0.0	31.9
		Central Regional Health Authority,					
MB	4640	Manitoba	50	58.0	36.0	6.0	42.0
	4045	Assiniboine Regional Health	40	54.0	44.0		45 7
MB	4645	Authority, Manitoba	46	54.3	41.3	4.4	45.7
MB	4660	Manitoba	17	59.6	31.0	85	40.4
	+000	Nor-Man Regional Health Authority	T/	00.0	01.5	0.0	07
MB	4670	Manitoba	92	82.6	17.4	0.0	17.4
MB	4685	Burntwood/Churchill, Manitoba	83	91.6	8.4	0.0	8.4
		Sun Country Regional Health					
SK	4701	Authority, Saskatchewan	48	85.4	14.6	0.0	14.6
		Five Hills Regional Health					
SK	4702	Authority, Saskatchewan	56	83.9	14.3	1.8	16.1
		Cypress Regional Health Authority,					_ · · ·
SK	4703	Saskatchewan	45	68.9	28.9	2.2	31.1

	1	Pagina Oul'Appella Pagional Health					
SK	4704	Authority, Saskatchewan	25	72.0	20.0	8.0	28.0
.		Sunrise Regional Health Authority,					
SK	4705	Saskatchewan	45	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3
SK	4706	Authority, Saskatchewan	23	95.7	4.3	0.0	4.3
		Heartland Regional Health			-		-
SK	4707	Authority, Saskatchewan	45	80.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
SK	4708	Kelsey Trail Regional Health	38	78.0	21.1	0.0	21.1
51	4700	Prince Albert Parkland Regional		70.9	21.1	0.0	21.1
SK	4709	Health Authority, Saskatchewan	47	93.6	6.4	0.0	6.4
	474.0	Prairie North Regional Health	10	04.0	0.7	0.0	0.7
SK	4710	Authority, Saskatchewan Mamawatan/Keewatin/Athabasca	46	91.3	8.7	0.0	8.7
SK	4714	Saskatchewan	81	93.8	6.2	0.0	6.2
		Chinook Regional Health Authority,					
AB	4821	Alberta	46	91.3	8.7	0.0	8.7
AB	4822	Palliser Health Region, Alberta	41	92.7	4.9	2.4	7.3
AB	4823	Calgary Health Region, Alberta	27	92.6	7.4	0.0	7.4
		David Thompson Regional Health					
AB	4824	Authority, Alberta	45	91.1	8.9	0.0	8.9
AB	4825	East Central Health, Alberta	37	94.6	2.7	2.7	5.4
AB	4826	Capital Health, Alberta	23	95.7	4.3	0.0	4.3
		Aspen Regional Health Authority,					
AB	4827	Alberta	93	91.4	7.5	1.1	8.6
AB	4828	Peace Country Health, Alberta	89	91.0	7.9	1.1	9.0
		Northern Lights Health Region,					
AB	4829	Alberta	76	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50	5044	East Kootenay Health Service	10	70.0			o. 7
BC	5911	Delivery Area, British Columbia	46	78.3	17.4	4.3	21.7
DC	5010	Kootenay-Boundary Health Service	10	60.9	25.6	1.6	20.2
ЫС	5912	Okapagan Health Service Delivery	43	09.0	25.0	4.0	30.2
BC	5913	Area British Columbia	50	82.0	16.0	2.0	18.0
20	0010	Thompson/Cariboo Health Service		02.0	10.0	2.0	10.0
BC	5914	Delivery Area, British Columbia	51	96.1	3.9	0.0	3.9
		Fraser East Health Service Delivery					
BC	5921	Area, British Columbia	40	97.5	2.5	0.0	2.5
		Fraser North Health Service					
BC	5922	Delivery Area, British Columbia	43	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DO	5000	Fraser South Health Service	00	100.0			
BC	5923	Delivery Area, British Columbia	29	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PC	5021	Area British Columbia	24	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ЪС	2931	Vancouver Health Service Delivery	∠4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BC	5932	Area British Columbia	20	97 <i>I</i>	26	0.0	26
50	0002	North Shore/Coast Garibaldi Health		07.4	2.0	0.0	2.0
BC	5933	Service Delivery Area, British	23	95.7	4.3	0.0	4.3
		· · ·					

		Columbia					
		South Vancouver Island Health					
	5044	Service Delivery Area, British	45	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BC	5941		45	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Central vancouver Island Health					
PC	5042	Columbia	52	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BC	5942	North Vancouver Island Health	52	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Service Delivery Area British					
BC	5943	Columbia	42	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20		Northwest Health Service Delivery		10010	010	010	0.0
BC	5951	Area. British Columbia	88	93.2	4.5	2.3	6.8
		Northern Interior Health Service					
BC	5952	Delivery Area, British Columbia	96	91.7	6.2	2.1	8.3
		Northeast Health Service Delivery					
BC	5953	Area, British Columbia	81	90.1	8.7	1.2	9.9
ΥT	6001	Yukon	94	84.1	10.6	5.3	15.9
NT	6101	Northwest Territories	76	96.1	3.9	0.0	3.9
NU	6201	Nunavut	59	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0